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#### Abstract

Summary. Integrating and differentiating matrices allow the numerical integration and differentiation of functions whose values are known at points of a discrete grid. Previous derivations of these matrices have been restricted to one-dimensional grids or to rectangular grids with uniform spacing in at least one direction. The present work develops integrating and differentiating matrices for rectangular grids with non-uniform spacing in both directions. The use of these matrices as operators to reformulate boundary-value problems on rectangular domains as matrix problems for a finite-dimensional solution vector is considered. The method requires non-uniform grids which include "near-boundary" points. An eigenvalue problem for the transverse vibrations of a simply-supported rectangular plate is solved to illustrate the method.


## 1. Introduction

Rotating-beam configurations have traditionally been used to study the vibrations and aeroelastic stability of rotating structures such as helicopter rotor blades and propeller blades. More recently, models involving elastic plates have been proposed to include the effects of spanwise variations in material properties. The fourth-order boundary-value problems associated with both the beam and plate models to not, in general, have useful closed-form solutions. Consequently, most theoretical work on these problems has been asymptotic or numerical in nature.

In one approach to the numerical solution of these problems, harmonic time dependence is assumed to reduce the governing partial differential equation to a differential equation in space variables which includes an eigenvalue. For beam problems, this is an ordinary differential equation. The fundamental derivative which represents beam curvature may be taken as a new dependent variable, and the eigenvalue problem for the beam can be reformulated as an integro-differential equation $[3,6,9]$. This equation may be conveniently expressed using integral, differential, and boundary-evaluation operators. The operator equation for the continuous solution may further be converted to a matrix operator equation for a finite-dimensional solution vector by evaluating the continuous equation at a finite set of discrete grid points which span the interval of interest. A key question is now the manner in which the matrix operators are approximated.

[^0]For beam problems, one method for approximating the integral and differential operators involves the use of integrating matrices $[1,4,8]$ and differentiating matrices [2,7]. In the simplest terms, these matrices provide, respectively, a means of numerically integrating and differentiating a function whose values are known at a finite set of discrete grid points. A key property of both integrating and differentiating matrices is that their derivation requires only knowledge of the grid points, and no information is needed about the function to be numerically integrated or differentiated. In the case of a beam problem with its single space variable, this property allows the integrating and differentiating matrices based on one-dimensional grids to be used directly as approximations for the integral and differential operators in the matrix operator form of the eigenvalue problem. The result of this approximation is a straightforward matrix eigenvalue problem which can be solved by standard methods. This approach has proved capable of efficiently handling a wide variety of beam problems including beams with concentrated masses, follower forces, and point loadings [6].

For vibration and buckling problems which involve two-dimensional elastic plates, removal of the time dependence from the original boundary-value problem yields an eigenvalue problem which continues to be governed by a partial differential equation. By analogy with the one-dimensional case, it would seem desirable to reformulate this eigenvalue problem as a matrix integro-partial differential equation for a finite-dimensional solution vector on a two-dimensional grid of discrete points. Integrating and differentiating matrices based on two-dimensional grids could then be used to approximate the respective operators resulting, again, in a standard matrix eigenvalue problem.

The present work will explore the potential of this approach by considering an eigenvalue problem associated with the transverse vibration of a simply-supported rectangular plate. This problem consists of the biharmonic eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2} u(x, y)=\lambda^{2} u(x, y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leqslant x \leqslant A, 0 \leqslant y \leqslant B$, and the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u(0, y)=u_{x x}(0, y)=0, & u(A, y)=u_{x x}(A, y)=0 \\
u(x, 0)=u_{y y}(x, 0)=0, & u(x, B)=u_{y y}(x, B)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{array}
$$

In Section 2, equation (1.1) will be reformulated as an integro-partial differential equation consistent with the form of the boundary conditions (1.2). Because (1.2) involves conditions on $u(x, y)$ itself at all four boundaries, the present approach retains $u$ itself as the dependent variable. Conversion to a matrix eigenvalue problem will now require the derivation of appropriate integrating and differentiating matrices based on a two-dimensional rectangular grid of discrete points.

One type of integrating matrix for a function of two variables has been previously derived [5]. This matrix may be used in two-dimensional problems whose reformulation is possible using an integrating matrix alone, e.g., the plate analogue of a beam with cantilevered boundary conditions. Unfortunately, this matrix is not suitable for the present purposes as its derivation requires that the spacing of the grid points be uniform in at least one direction. The boundary conditions (1.2) lead to a reformulation which will require the use of differentiating matrices to approximate partial derivatives with respect
to both $x$ and $y$. To preserve accuracy, the computational grid must now include points "close" to all four boundaries giving non-uniform grid spacing in both directions [7].

Generalized integrating matrices for functions of two variables whose values are known on non-uniform rectangular grids are derived in the Appendix. Differentiating matrices which approximate partial derivatives on non-uniform rectangular grids are also derived, as are matrices which evaluate quantities at boundary grid points. The only restriction in this derivation is that the grid sub-units $\left[x_{j} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{j+1}, y_{k} \leqslant y \leqslant y_{k+1}\right]$ should be rectangles.

In Section 3, appropriate integrating and differentiating matrices are used to approximate the reformulated eigenvalue problem by a matrix eigenvalue problem involving a "stacked" column vector. Numerical calculations are presented for a rectangle with $A=2$ and $B=1$ on a 7 -by- 7 grid (including near-boundary points). Despite the coarseness of this grid, good agreement with the exact eigenvalues of (1.1) and (1.2) is obtained.

## 2. Reformulation of the eigenvalue problem

An appropriate reformulation of the two-dimensional eigenvalue problem (1.1) and (1.2) is an integro-differential equation whose derivation explicitly uses all eight conditions in (1.2). The form of these boundary conditions is such that $u(x, y)$ will be retained as the dependent variable. To derive the required reformulation equation (1.1) is first integrated twice with respect to $y$ from $y$ to $B$ and from 0 to $y$. This result is then integrated two additional times with respect to $x$ from $x$ to $A$ and from 0 to $x$. Applying the boundary conditions (1.2) now gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\int_{0}^{y} \eta+y \int_{y}^{B}\right\}\left[x u_{x x x}(A, \eta)-u_{x x}(x, \eta)\right] \mathrm{d} \eta \\
& \quad+\left\{\int_{0}^{x} \xi+x \int_{x}^{A}\right\}\left[y u_{y y y}(\xi, B)-u_{y y}(\xi, y)\right] \mathrm{d} \xi \\
& -2\left[x u_{x}(A, y)+y u_{y}(x, B)\right]+2 x y u_{x y}(A, B)+2 u(x, y) \\
& =\lambda^{2}\left\{x \int_{0}^{y} \int_{x}^{A} \eta u(\xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta+y \int_{y}^{B} \int_{0}^{x} \xi u(\xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad+x y \int_{y}^{B} \int_{x}^{A} u(\xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta+\int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{x} \xi \eta u(\xi, \eta) \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{~d} \eta\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation provides the starting point for approximation of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) and (1.2) using integrating and differentiating matrices on a rectangular grid.

## 3. Approximation by a matrix eigenvalue problem

The first step in approximating equation (2.1) by a matrix eigenvalue problem for a finite-dimensional solution vector is to discretize this equation on a rectangular grid $G$ of discrete points. This will allow the integrating, differentiating, and boundary matrices on this two-dimensional grid to be used as operators to approximate the corresponding operators in the continuous equation.

The two-dimensional grid $G$ may be formed from the cross-product of appropriate one-dimensional grids in the $x$ - and $y$-directions. In particular, let $G_{x}$ be the one-dimensional grid of $M$ discrete points

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=x_{1}<x_{2} \cdots<x_{M}=A \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which discretizes the interval $0 \leqslant x \leqslant A$, and let $G_{y}$ be the one-dimensional grid of $N$ points

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=y_{1}<y_{2}<\cdots<y_{N}=B \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which discretizes the interval $0 \leqslant y \leqslant B$. Neither $G_{x}$ nor $G_{y}$ need to have uniform spacing. Indeed, in actual implementation, to preserve accuracy it will be necessary to choose the spacings $x_{2}-x_{1}, x_{M}-x_{M-1}, y_{2}-y_{1}$, and $y_{N}-y_{N-1}$ relatively small as the formulation will involve differentiating matrices [7]. The two-dimensional grid $G$ for the continuous region of the boundary-value problem (1.1) and (1.2) may now be taken as the set of $M N$ discrete points

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right): x_{i} \in G_{x}, y_{j} \in G_{y}\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the subunits of the grid $G$ are rectangles which need not have equal areas.
The straightforward format for displaying values of the solution $u(x, y)$ at the discrete grid points of $G$ is an $M$-by- $N$ matrix [ $U$ ] with elements $U_{i j}=u\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$. Unfortunately, this format is unsuitable for the desired reduction to a matrix eigenvalue problem. Rather, it is convenient to arrange the $M N$ values of $u$ on the grid as a "stacked" $M N$-by-1 column vector. In particular, the finite-dimensional solution vector $\{u\}$ is taken to have elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}=u\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \quad \text { with } k=M(j-1)+i \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, M$ and $j=1, \ldots, N$. It should be noted that this format for $\{u\}$ is $x$-oriented, i.e., the $N$ groups of $M$ consecutive elements in the stacked vector give values of $u$ for a fixed value of $y$ in $G_{y}$ while $x$ varies in $G_{x}$. Because of this orientation, as indicated in Appendix A, matrices which approximate integrals and derivatives with respect to $y$ will require extra operations in their construction. A general flow chart for the construction of both $x$ - and $y$-operation matrices is given in Figure 1.

Once equation (2.1) has been discretized, integrating, differentiating, and boundaryevaluation matrices on the grid $G$ may be used to obtain a matrix eigenvalue problem which provides the required approximations. In particular, the eigenvalue problem for (2.1) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[G]\{u\}=\lambda^{2}[H]\{u\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[G]=\left[G_{1}\right]+\left[G_{2}\right]+2\left[G_{3}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[G_{1}\right]=([J R Y][Y]+[Y][J T Y])([X][B A][D X 3]-[D X 2]),}  \tag{3.6}\\
& {\left[G_{2}\right]=([J R X][X]+[X][J T X])([Y][B B][D Y 3]-[D Y 2]),} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. Flowchart illustrating the formation of matrices which approximate integrals and partial derivatives with respect to $x$ and $y$ on the rectangular grid $G$.
and

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[G_{3}\right]=} & -([X][B A][D X]+[Y][B B][D Y])+[X][Y][B A][B B][D X][D Y] \\
& +[I] \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In (3.6) through (3.8), $[J R X]$ and $[J T X]$ approximate $x$-integrals from 0 to $x$ and from $x$ to $A$, respectively, on the two-dimensional grid $G$ while [ $D X$ ], [ $D X 2$ ], and [ $D X 3$ ] approximate first, second, and third partial derivatives with respect to $x$ on $G$. Similarly, [JRY] and [JTY] approximate $y$-integrals from 0 to $y$ and from $y$ to $B$, respectively, on
$G$ while [ $D Y$ ], [ $D Y 2$ ], and [ $D Y 3$ ] approximate first, second, and third partial derivatives with respect to $y$. The matrices $[B A]$ and $[B B]$ evaluate quantities at boundary points with $x=A$ and $y=B$, respectively. The derivation of these integrating, partial differentiating, and boundary matrices on the grid $G$ is discussed in Appendix A. [I] is the $M N$-by- $M N$ identity matrix. The $M N$-by- $M N$ matrices [ $X$ ] and [ $Y$ ] are diagonal matrices such that if position $k$ in the stacked solution vector corresponds to the point $\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$ of $G$, then $X_{k k}=x_{i}$ and $Y_{k k}=y_{j}$.

The matrix $[H]$ in (3.4) may be written as the sum of four matrices $[H]=\left[H_{1}\right]+\left[H_{2}\right]$ $+\left[H_{3}\right]+\left[H_{4}\right]$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[H_{1}\right]=[X][J R Y][J T X][Y],}  \tag{3.9}\\
& {\left[H_{2}\right]=[Y][J T Y][J R X][X],}  \tag{3.10}\\
& {\left[H_{3}\right]=[X][Y][J T Y][J T X],} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{4}\right]=[J R Y][J R X][X][Y] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To enhance accuracy in calculation of the lower eigenvalues, it is convenient to further re-write (3.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A]\{u\}=\omega\{u\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A]=[G]^{-1}[H] \text { and } \omega=1 / \lambda^{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To test the accuracy of this matrix eigenvalue approximation to the continuous problem (1.1) and (1.2), equation (3.13) was solved on a rectangle with $A=2$ and $B=1$. $G_{x}$ was taken to be the seven-point grid consisting of the two end points $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{7}=2$, two near-boundary points $x_{2}=0.0001$ and $x_{6}=1.9999$, and three interior points $x_{3}=0.5, x_{4}=1.0$, and $x_{5}=1.5$. $G_{y}$ was taken to be the seven-point grid consisting of the two endpoints $y_{1}=0$ and $y_{7}=1.0$, two near-boundary points $y_{2}=0.00005$ and $y_{6}=$ 0.99995 , and three interior points $y_{3}=0.25, y_{4}=0.5$, and $y_{5}=0.75$. The implementation of (3.6) through (3.12) on this grid thus involves 49-by-49 matrices. However, the size of the matrix $[A]$ in the matrix eigenvalue problem (3.13) can be reduced by noting that $u=0$ at all boundary points. Rows and columns of $[A]$ corresponding to boundary points may thus be deleted leading to a 25 -by- 25 matrix.

Exact solution of the boundary-value problem (1.1) and (1.2) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{p q}(x, y)=c_{p q} \sin \frac{p \pi x}{A} \sin \frac{q \pi y}{B} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are integers. The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p q}=\left(\frac{p \pi}{A}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{q \pi}{B}\right)^{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the present test case with $A=2$ and $B=1$, the exact values of the two smallest eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{11}=12.337 \text { and } \lambda_{21}=19.739 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Approximate values for these eigenvalues were obtained by solving the matrix eigenvalue problem (3.13) on the grid $G$. Differentiating matrices were based on fourth-degree polynomials while integrating matrices were based on fifth-degree polynomials. This even/odd degree scheme allows grid points to be centered as much as possible within the sliding subgrids on which the differentiating and integrating matrices are based. The computations give the values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{11}=12.553 \text { and } \lambda_{21}=17.635 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It must be remarked that $G$ in this test problem is a relatively coarse grid which, when boundary and near-boundary points are omitted, has only a total of nine points in the interior of the two-dimensional region. Values of these approximations could be improved through the inclusion of additional interior points.

## 4. Concluding remarks

The present work has examined an extension of integrating- and differentiating-matrix methodology to partial differential equations involving two space variables. Matrices which approximate integrals and derivatives on one-dimensional grids are used as a starting point to develop matrices which approximate integrals and partial derivatives on two-dimensional rectangular grids. The method requires that the original boundary-value problem be reformulated to take account of all boundary conditions. Integrating, differentiating, and boundary matrices may then be used as operators to approximate the boundary-value problem by a standard matrix problem for a stacked, finite-dimensional solution vector. The inclusion of near-boundary points in the grid helps to prevent the degradation of accuracy at boundaries associated with differentiating matrices.

While only two-dimensional rectangular domains have been explicitly considered in the present work, a further generalization of the method to three-dimensional domains is relatively straightforward. This is due to the use of a stacked column vector format for the solution vector which allows matrices on the higher-dimensional grid to be obtained from matrices on the underlying one-dimensional grids. The primary requirement in going to three space dimensions is the use of appropriate change matrices analogous to the matrices [CXY] and [CYX] of Appendix A. These matrices will shuffle the order of the stack to orient it with respect to a given variable and then restore the original orientation after an operation with respect to that variable has been approximated.

## Appendix A: Partial differentiating, integrating, and boundary matrices for rectangular grids

Let $G$ be the rectangular grid in (3.3) formed from the two one-dimensional grids $G_{x}$ and $G_{y}$ in (3.1) and (3.2). Let $\{u\}$ be the $M N$-by-1 "stacked" column vector defined in (3.4)
which gives values of $u(x, y)$ at the points of $G$. Then, for the present boundary-value problem on a rectangle, it is necessary to derive two types of integrating matrices and three partial differentiating matrices for each of the two space variables $x$ and $y$.

Consider first the matrices which approximate operations with respect to $x$. The " 0 -to- $x$ " integrating matrix $[J R X]$ on $G$ is an $M N$-by- $M N$ matrix such that the $M N$-by-1 column vector $[J R X]\{u\}$ contains approximate values of the integral $\int_{0}^{x} u(\xi, y) \mathrm{d} \xi$ at the points of $G$. In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[J R X]\{u\} \cong\left(0, \int_{0}^{x_{2}} u\left(\xi, y_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, \cdots, \int_{0}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, 0, \int_{0}^{x_{2}} u\left(\xi, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, \cdots\right.} \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, \cdots, 0, \int_{0}^{x_{2}} u\left(\xi, y_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, \cdots, \int_{0}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi\right)^{T} \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the " $x$-to- $A$ " integrating matrix [JTX] leads to approximations at points of $G$ of the integral $\int_{x}^{A} u(\xi, y) \mathrm{d} \xi$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[J T X]\{u\} \cong\left(\int_{0}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, \cdots, \int_{x_{M-1}}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, 0, \int_{0}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, \cdots, 0, \cdots\right.} \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, \cdots, \int_{x_{M-1}}^{A} u\left(\xi, y_{N}\right) \mathrm{d} \xi, 0\right)^{T} \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

The $x$-partial derivative matrix $[D X]$ is an $M N$-by- $M N$ matrix such that $[D X]\{u\}$ contains approximate values of $\partial u / \partial x$ at points of $G$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[D X]\{u\}=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\left(x_{M}, y_{1}\right), \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\left(x_{1}, y_{N}\right), \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\left(x_{M}, y_{N}\right)\right)^{T} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices [ $D X 2$ ] and [ $D X 3$ ] which lead to approximations of second and third partial derivatives of $u(x, y)$ with respect to $x$ at points of $G$ have similar definitions.

The stacked column vector $\{u\}$ has been constructed so as to be $x$-oriented. It thus consists of $N$ segments which contain $M$ elements apiece. In each of these segments, $x$ varies through $G_{x}$ for a constant value of $y$ in $G_{y}$. The matrices in (A1) to (A3) approximate $x$-operations for fixed values of $y$. Consequently, use of the present stacked-column-vector format will allow $x$-operation matrices on the rectangular grid $G$ to be constructed from the corresponding $M$-by- $M$ matrices on the one-dimensional grid $G_{x}$. This construction is most easily accomplished through definition of a "diagonalizing" mapping from the set of $M$-by- $M$ matrices to the set of $M N$-by- $M N$ matrices.

Let $p$ and $q$ be integers, and let $[A]$ be a $p$-by- $p$ matrix. The diagonalizing mapping
$\operatorname{Diag}(p, q,[A])$ then assigns to $[A]$ the $p q$-by- $p q$ matrix $[B]$ obtained by placing $q$ matrices $[A]$ along the diagonal of $[B]$ and taking all other elements of $[B]$ to be zero, i.e.,

$$
[B]=\operatorname{Diag}(p, q,[A])=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
{[A]} & & & & 0  \tag{A4}\\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & {[A]} & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & & {[A]}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The $x$-operation matrices on $G$ may be formed by applying this mapping with $p=M$ and $q=N$ to appropriate matrices on the grid $G_{x}$.

Consider first the construction of $[J R X]$. Let $f(x)$ be a function whose values are known at the points of $G_{x}$, and let $\{f\}$ be the $M$-by- 1 column vector which contains these values. Further, let [ $j r x$ ] be an $M$-by- $M$ integrating matrix which approximates integrals of $f(x)$ from 0 to $x$ on $G_{x}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[j r x]\{f\} \cong\left\{\int_{0}^{x_{i}} f(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right\} . \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing equation (A1), segment-by-segment, with (A5) now shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[J R X]=\operatorname{Diag}(M, N,[j r x]) \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix [ $j r x$ ] (and hence $[J R X]$ ) is not unique, but depends on both the number of points included in the sliding subgrids of $G_{x}$ and the manner in which $f(x)$ is approximated on these subgrids. The present work uses the integrating matrix [ $j r x$ ] for one-dimensional non-uniform grids developed in [4].

To obtain the second required integrating matrix $[J T X]$ on the rectangular grid $G$, let [ $j t x$ ] be an integrating matrix on $G_{x}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[j t x]\{f\} \cong\left\{\int_{x_{i}}^{A} f(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi\right\} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, a segment-by-segment comparison of (A2) and (A7) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[J T X]=\operatorname{Diag}(M, N,[j t x]) \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating matrices on one-dimensional non-uniform grids have been derived in [7]. Let the matrices which approximate first, second, and third derivatives of $f(x)$ at points of $G_{x}$ be denoted, respectively, by [ $d x$ ], $[d x 2]$, and [ $d x 3$ ]. As is the case with integrating matrices, these differentiating matrices are not unique. Further, in the usual case where the sliding subgrids contain fewer than all $M$ points of $G_{x},[d x 2]$ and [dx3] cannot be obtained by simply squaring or cubing the matrix [ $d x$ ]. Rather, these matrices must be obtained directly from approximations to the second and third derivatives of $f(x)$ on the sliding subgrids.

The three matrices [DX], [DX2], and [DX3] which approximate partial derivatives
with respect to $x$ of $u(x, y)$ at points of $G$ may now be constructed from [dx], [dx2], and [ $d x 3$ ] using the diagonalizing mapping. In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[D X]=\operatorname{Diag}(M, N,[d x]), \quad[D X 2]=\operatorname{Diag}(M, N,[d x 2]),} \\
& {[D X 3]=\operatorname{Diag}(M, N,[d x 3]) .} \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

For consistency, the differentiating matrices used in the present work were based on the same subgrid approximation scheme as was used for the integrating matrices on $G_{x}$.

Consider next derivation of the matrices which approximate operations with respect to $y$ on the rectangular grid $G$. The required integrating matrices for this variable are the " 0 -to- $y$ " integrating matrix $[J R Y]$ which approximates the integral $\int_{0}^{y} u(x, \eta) \mathrm{d} \eta$ at points of $G$, and the " $y$-to- $B$ " integrating matrix [JTY] which approximates the integral $\int_{y}^{B} u(x, \eta) \mathrm{d} \eta$. The product of these $M N$-by- $M N$ matrices with $\{u\}$ are the $M N$-by- 1 column vectors

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[J R Y]\{u\} \cong\left(0, \cdots, 0, \int_{0}^{y_{2}} u\left(x_{1}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta, \cdots, \int_{0}^{y_{2}} u\left(x_{M}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta, \cdots,\right.} \\
& \left.\int_{0}^{B} u\left(x_{1}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta, \cdots, \int_{0}^{B} u\left(x_{M}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta\right)^{T} \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[J T Y]\{u\} \cong\left(\int_{0}^{B} u\left(x_{1}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta, \cdots, \int_{0}^{B} u\left(x_{M}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta, \cdots,\right.} \\
& \left.\int_{y_{N-1}}^{B} u\left(x_{1}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta, \cdots, \int_{y_{N-1}}^{B} u\left(x_{M}, \eta\right) \mathrm{d} \eta, 0, \cdots, 0\right)^{T} \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $g(y)$ be a function whose values are known at the points of the one-dimensional grid $G_{y}$, and let $\{g\}$ be the $N$-by- 1 column vector which contains these values. Further, let [ $j r y$ ] and [ $j t y$ ] be integrating matrices on $G_{y}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[j r y]\{g\} \cong\left\{\int_{0}^{y_{i}} g(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad[j t y]\{g\} \cong\left\{\int_{y_{i}}^{B} g(\eta) \mathrm{d} \eta\right\} . \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\{u\}$, as defined, is $x$-oriented, the integrating matrices [JRY] and [JTY] on $G$ cannot be formed using the single mapping (A4) on the corresponding matrices for the one-dimensional grid $G_{y}$. As [JRY] and [JTY] approximate integrals with respect to $y$ for fixed values of $x$, two additional mappings which convert from $x$ - to $y$-orientation and from $y$ - to $x$-orientation will also be required.

If the values of $u(x, y)$ at the $M N$ points of the rectangular grid $G$ are written as an $M N$-by- 1 stacked column vector $\{v\}$ whose format is $y$-oriented, then the elements of $\{v\}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}=u\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right) \quad \text { where } k=N(i-1)+j . \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the vector $\{v\}$ consists of $M$ segments which contain $N$ elements apiece. In each segment, $y$ varies in $G_{y}$ for a fixed value of $x$ in $G_{x}$. If $\{v\}$ and not $\{u\}$ had been chosen as the format for the solution vector, then [JRY] and [JTY] could be formed from [jry] and $[j t y]$ directly using the diagonalizing mapping (A4) with $p=N$ and $q=M$.

An $x$-oriented vector $\{u\}$ may be associated with its corresponding $y$-oriented vector $\{v\}$ through a mapping $C x y$ from the set of $M N-b y-1$ column vectors into itself so that $C x y(\{u\})=\{v\}$. In symbolic terms, if the values of $u(x, y)$ on the rectangular grid $G$ are arranged in an $M$-by- $N$ array, then the effect of applying the mapping $C x y$ is to produce an $N$-by- $M$ array which is the transpose of the original. For the present purposes, the mapping Cxy may be carried out by multiplying $\{u\}$ by an $M N$-by- $M N$ matrix [CXY] so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[C X Y]\{u\}=\{v\} . \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix [ $C X Y$ ] may be written as a stack of $M, N$-by- $M N$ matrices

$$
[C X Y]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[C X Y^{(1)}\right]}  \tag{A15}\\
\vdots \\
{\left[C X Y^{(M)}\right]}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If [ $e_{j}$ ] is the $j$-th unit vector in $M$-dimensional real space, i.e., a row vector with a one in the $j$-th position and zeros in the other $M-1$ positions, then each matrix in (A15) can be written in the form

$$
\left[C X Y^{(j)}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
{\left[e_{j}\right]} & & & & 0  \tag{A16}\\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & {\left[e_{j}\right]} & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & & {\left[e_{j}\right]}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The matrices in (A15) thus have the row vector $\left[e_{j}\right]$ along the diagonal and zeros elsewhere.

The mapping $C x y$ is one-to-one and hence invertable. Let the inverse mapping be denoted by $C y x$ so that $C y x(\{v\})=\{u\}$. Thus, when applied to a $y$-oriented vector, $C y x$ restores the standard $x$-orientation. This mapping may be carried out by multiplying $\{v\}$ by the $M N$-by- $M N$ matrix [ $C Y X$ ] so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[C Y X]\{v\}=\{u\} . \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the inverse relationship of Cxy and Cyx, $[C Y X]=[C X Y]^{-1}$.
Having defined the mappings which change the format of a stacked column vector from $x$ - to $y$-orientation and back again, the procedure which uses the $N$-by- $N$ integrating matrix $[j r y]$ on $G_{y}$ to produce the the vector $[J R Y]\{u\}$ defined in (A10) may now be given. The vector $\{u\}$ is first multiplied by $[C X Y]$ to produce a $y$-oriented format. It is then multiplied by the matrix $\operatorname{Diag}(N, M,[j r y])$ to give a vector which consists of $M$
segments, each of which approximates the integral of $u(x, y)$ from 0 to $y$ for a fixed value of $x$. Finally, the original $x$-orientation is restored through multiplication by [ $C Y X$ ]. This process implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
[J R Y]=[C Y X] \operatorname{Diag}[N, M,[j r y])[C X Y] . \tag{A18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix [JTY] may likewise be formed in this manner from the integrating matrix [ $j t y$ ] on $G_{y}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[J T Y]=[C Y X] \operatorname{Diag}(N, M,[j t y])[C X Y] . \tag{A19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $M N$-by- $M N$ matrix [ $D Y$ ], which approximates partial derivatives with respect to $y$ on the rectangular grid $G$, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[D Y]\{u\} \cong\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\left(x_{M}, y_{1}\right), \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\left(x_{1}, y_{N}\right), \cdots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\left(x_{M}, y_{N}\right)\right)^{T} \tag{A20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let [ $d y$ ] be an $N$-by- $N$ differentiating matrix on the one-dimensional grid $G_{y}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[d y]\{g\} \cong\left\{g^{\prime}\right\} \tag{A21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $[D Y]$ can be formed from [ $d y$ ] through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[D Y]=[C Y X] \operatorname{Diag}(N, M,[d y])[C X Y] . \tag{A22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, let [dy2] and [dy3] be matrices which approximate second and third derivatives of $g(y)$ on $G_{y}$. Then, replacing [ $d y$ ] in (A22) by [dy2] or [ $d y 3$ ] leads to the matrices [DY2] and [DY3], respectively, which approximate second and third partial derivatives of $u(x, y)$ with respect to $y$ on $G$.

Matrices which evaluate quantities at the boundaries $x=A$ and $y=B$ are the final items needed to construct the matrices $[G]$ and $[H]$ in the matrix reformulation of (1.1) and (1.2). The $M N$-by- $M N$ boundary matrix $[B A]$ is such that $[B A]\{u\}$ gives values of $u(A, y)$ at points of the rectangular grid $G$. In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
{[B A]\{u\}=} & \left(u\left(A, y_{1}\right), \cdots, u\left(A, y_{1}\right), u\left(A, y_{2}\right), \cdots, u\left(A, y_{2}\right)\right. \\
& , \cdots, u(A, B), \cdots, u(A, B))^{T} . \tag{A23}
\end{align*}
$$

[ $B A$ ] may be written as a stack of $N, M$-by- $M N$ matrices. If $\left[b a_{j}\right]$ is the $j$-th matrix in this stack $(j=1, \ldots, N)$, then the element in the $i$-th row ( $i=1, \ldots, M$ ) and $k$-th column of [ $b a_{j}$ ] is unity if $k=j M$ and zero otherwise. Similarly, the $M N$-by- $M N$ matrix [ $B B$ ] is such that $[B B]\{u\}$ gives values of $u(x, B)$ at points of $G$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B B]\{u\}=\left(u\left(x_{1}, B\right), \cdots, u\left(x_{M}, B\right), \cdots, u\left(x_{1}, B\right), \cdots, u\left(x_{M}, B\right)\right)^{T} . \tag{A24}
\end{equation*}
$$

[ $B B$ ] may also be written as a stack of $N, M$-by- $M N$ matrices.

$$
[B B]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
{[b b]}  \tag{A25}\\
\vdots \\
{[b b]}
\end{array}\right]
$$

However, each of the $N$ matrices in the stack (A25) is identical. The right-hand block of $M$ columns of $[b b]$ is simply the $M$-by- $M$ identity matrix. All other elements of [bb] are zero.
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